

# Probability, programs, and the mind: Building structured Bayesian models of cognition

Noah D. Goodman (ngoodman@stanford.edu)

Department of Psychology,  
Stanford University, Stanford CA 94305 USA

Joshua B. Tenenbaum (jbt@mit.edu)

Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences,  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge MA 02139 USA

## Objectives and scope

Human thought is remarkably flexible: we can think about infinitely many different situations despite uncertainty and novelty. Probabilistic models of cognition (Chater, Tenenbaum, & Yuille, 2006) have been successful at explaining a wide variety of learning and reasoning under uncertainty. They have borrowed tools from statistics and machine learning to explain phenomena from perception (Yuille & Kersten, 2006) to language (Chater & Manning, 2006). Traditional symbolic models (e.g. Newell, Shaw, & Simon, 1958; Anderson & Lebiere, 1998), by contrast, excel at explaining the productivity of thought, which follows from compositionality of symbolic representations. Indeed, there has been a gradual move toward more structured probabilistic models (Tenenbaum, Kemp, Griffiths, & Goodman, 2011) that incorporate aspects of symbolic methods into probabilistic modeling. Unfortunately this movement has resulted in a complex “zoo” of Bayesian models. We have recently introduced the idea that using programs, and particularly *probabilistic programs*, as the representational substrate for probabilistic modeling tames this unruly zoo, fully unifies probabilistic with symbolic approaches, and opens new possibilities in cognitive modeling. The goal of this tutorial is to introduce probabilistic models of cognition from the point of view of probabilistic programming, both as a unifying idea for cognitive modeling and as a practical tool.

The probabilistic programming language Church (Goodman, Mansinghka, Roy, Bonawitz, & Tenenbaum, 2008), mathematically grounded on the stochastic  $\lambda$ -calculus, provides a universal language for representing probabilistic models. We will use Church to introduce key ideas and examples of probabilistic modeling. A Church program represents a probabilistic model, and hence inferences that can be drawn from this model, without committing to a process level implementation of inference. This will allow us to focus the tutorial on structured representations and probabilistic inference phenomena without worrying about the details of inference algorithms (such as Markov chain Monte Carlo) that tutorials on Bayesian modeling often become bogged down in. On the other hand, because there are existing inference tools for Church (e.g. Wingate, Stuhlmüller, & Goodman, 2011), students will get hands-on experience with performing inference over different probabilistic models.

The tutorial will include several in-depth case studies where the probabilistic programming viewpoint is particularly useful. After introducing the basic phenomena of probabilistic reasoning—explaining away, screening off, etc—we will turn to the representation of intuitive theories and the connection between probabilistic programs, intuitive theories, and mental simulation. We will focus in particular on folk physics and folk psychology, showing that they can be captured as probabilistic programs, that this explains data from human experiments, and that they can be productively integrated together.

## Tutorial format

This full-day tutorial aims to introduce students to key ideas of, and new tools for constructing, structured probabilistic models. We will assume only basic familiarity with probability and with programming (i.e. minimal mathematical or statistical background). The tutorial will thus be appropriate for a general Cognitive Science audience, as well for practitioners of bayesian modeling who want to learn about probabilistic programming.

We will teach this tutorial drawing on a combination of infrastructure and materials that we have developed over the last five years, teaching graduate classes (at Stanford and MIT) and short tutorials around the world. The online book “Probabilistic Models of Cognition” (<http://probmods.org>) gives a systematic introduction to modern Bayesian modeling using Church for model representation. It integrates an easy to use but powerful implementation of Church that allows students to explore these modeling tools without the need to install special software. It contains extensive examples, including intuitive physics based on forward-simulation and theory-of-mind based on recursive probabilistic conditioning.

We will use the morning session to introduce the ideas of probabilistic modeling and the Church language, to illustrate basic ideas (such as explaining away, and hierarchical models), and to provide hands-on exercises using Church to create models. The afternoon session will be devoted to case studies of more sophisticated applications of these ideas to cognition, including studies from vision, language, and reasoning. The afternoon session will be structured around a series of examples and exercises building more and more complex intuitive theories of a simple domain: reasoning about ping-pong.



We, the instructors, have extensive experience in probabilistic modeling of cognition and extensive experience teaching courses and tutorials on these techniques. In addition we are active at the forefront of developing probabilistic programming languages, both conceptually and as practical tools. Both of the instructors have extensive experience teaching tutorials on probabilistic models of cognition specifically from the viewpoint of Church, including courses to graduate students, high-school students, linguists, and psychologists.

Tutorials on Bayesian Models of Inductive Learning have been taught at the Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society in 2006, 2008, and 2010 (all co-taught by JBT). An earlier version of this tutorial was successfully taught at the Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society 2012. This version will be updated with new content and new infrastructure that enables hands-on exploration of models of intuitive physics and psychology. We have presented similar tutorials at the European Summer School For Logic Language and Information 2010, 2013 (NDG), the North American Summer School For Logic Language and Information 2012, the Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (NDG and JBT), and several other venues. We will adjust the tutorial based on feedback from those experience as well as the particular audience we expect at Cognitive Science this year.

## References

- Anderson, J., & Lebiere, C. (1998). *The atomic components of thought*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Chater, N., & Manning, C. D. (2006). Probabilistic models of language processing and acquisition. *TRENDS in Cognitive Sciences*, 10, 335–344.
- Chater, N., Tenenbaum, J. B., & Yuille, A. (2006, July). Probabilistic models of cognition: Conceptual foundations. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(7), 287–291.
- Goodman, N. D., Mansinghka, V. K., Roy, D. M., Bonawitz, K., & Tenenbaum, J. B. (2008). Church: a language for generative models. *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*.
- Newell, A., Shaw, J., & Simon, H. (1958). Elements of a theory of human problem solving. *Psychological Review*, 65(3), 151.
- Tenenbaum, J., Kemp, C., Griffiths, T., & Goodman, N. (2011). How to grow a mind: Statistics, structure, and abstraction. *science*, 331(6022), 1279.
- Wingate, D., Stuhlmüller, A., & Goodman, N. (2011). Lightweight implementations of probabilistic programming languages via transformational compilation. In *Proceedings of the 14th international conference on artificial intelligence and statistics* (p. 131).
- Yuille, A., & Kersten, D. (2006). Vision as bayesian inference: analysis by synthesis? *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10, 301–308.