

# Using Reaction Times to Compare Two Models of Randomness Perception

**Giorgio Gronchi**

Dipartimento di Psicologia, Università di Firenze

**Steven A. Sloman**

Department of Cognitive and Linguistic Sciences, Brown University

**Abstract:** The overalternating bias is that people rate sequences with an excess of alternation as more random than prescribed by information theory. There are two explanations: local representativeness (Kahneman & Tversky, 1972) and the implicit encoding hypothesis (Falk & Konold, 1997). The aim of the current experiment is to compare predictions derived from the explanations in a reaction time experiment. The measure  $\text{random}(X)$  proposed by Griffiths & Tenenbaum (2004) was used to quantify the subjective randomness of a set of binary sequences. If the implicit encoding hypothesis holds, we should see reaction times increase monotonically with  $\text{random}(X)$ , whereas local representativeness predicts faster reaction time for low and high values of  $\text{random}(X)$  and slower reaction times for medium values of  $\text{random}(X)$ . Results support the implicit encoding model.